PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference GB040002	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below	
International application No. PCT/EP2005/050896	International filing date (day/month/year) 01 March 2005 (01.03.2005)	Priority date (day/month/year) 12 March 2004 (12.03.2004)	
International Patent Classification (8tl See relevant information in Form F	n edition unless older edition indicated) PCT/ISA/237		
Applicant INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MA	CHINES CORPORATION		

	•		·
1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).		
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 6 sheets, including this cover sheet.		
ı	In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.		
3.	. This report contains indications relating to the following items:		
	Box No. I	Basis of the report	
	Вох №. П	Priority	
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opini applicability	on with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention	
	Box No. V		Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial explanations supporting such statement
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited	
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the intern	national application
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the	international application
4.	4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis.2).		
			Date of issuance of this report 13 September 2006 (13.09.2006)
	The International Bure 34, chemin des Col 1211 Geneva 20, Sv	ombettes	Authorized officer Yolaine Cussac
Facsin	Pacsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70 e-mail: ptl1@wipo.int		

Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

REC'D 2 2 SEP 2005

From the	
Lioin nie	
NITEDNIATIONAL	SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:

ne NATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY		WIPC
NATIONAL SEARCHING ACTIONITY	P	CT

see form PCT/ISA/220	2d/9	WRITT INTERNATION	TEN OPINION OF THE NAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY
	•	(F	PCT Rule 43 <i>bis.</i> 1)
	,	Date of mailing (day/month/year) see	e form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)
Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220		FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below	
International application No. PCT/EP2005/050896	International filing date 01.03.2005	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 12.03.2004
International Patent Classification (IPC) or G06F9/50	both national classification	and IPC	
Applicant INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MA	ACHINES CORPORA	TION	

	IVIEROVIO				
This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:					
	☑ Box No. I	Basis of the opinion			
	Box No. II	Priority			
	☐ Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability			
	☐ Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention			
	☑ Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement			
	☐ Box No. VI	Certain documents cited			
•	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application			
	☐ Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the International application			
2.	2. FURTHER ACTION				
	written opinion of the applicant characternational Bu will not be so co	international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where also an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the alreau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority onsidered.			
i i		s, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to FA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three			

submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, months are appropriate to the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and malling address of the ISA:

European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswljk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Authorized Officer

Kielhöfer, P

Telephone No. +31 70 340-3490



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2005/050896

_	Box No	o. I Basis of the opinion
1.	the land	gard to the language , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in guage in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	☐ Th lan	is opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following nguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search ander Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2	With re	egard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and early to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. type	of material:
		a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. form	nat of material:
		in written format
		in computer readable form
	c. time	e of filing/furnishing:
		contained in the international application as filed.
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
	h	n addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating them has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
	4. Addit	ional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2005/050896

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

Claims

1-18

No:

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

1-18

No: Claims

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-18

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1 Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: "IBM WebSphere V4.0 Advanced Edition: Scalability and Availability (Partial)" (2002-05),

D2: US-A-5 349 656 (1994-09-20),

D3: "Maintaining affinity of message groups" (2003-06-13).

The document D1 is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of claim 1, and shows (the references in parentheses applying to this document):

A method for affinity management in a distributed computer system (e.g. session affinity, p.144), the method comprising: providing an identifier for each of a plurality of addressing entities (request of a session using e.g. SSL ID, p. 144, 4th paragraph and p.146f), [...]; [...] [...].

The subject-matter of claim 1 differs from this known method in

- 1) that the identifier for each member of a group of addressing entities with an affinity is the same group identifier;
- 2) determining the number of service providers which are available to be addressed by an addressing entity to provide an instance of a service;
- 3) managing the distribution of addressing entities to service providers by the following method: applying a hash function to the identifier of an addressing entity to obtain a standard integer; dividing the standard integer by the number of service providers and obtaining the modulus; selecting a service provider by reference to the modulus; sending the addressing entity to the instance of the service provided by the selected service provider.

The subject-matter of claim 1 seems therefore new (Article 33(2) PCT).

2.1 The combination of the features of independent claim 1 seems to be neither known

from, nor rendered obvious by, the available prior art as presently known. The reasons are as follows:

While the first feature is obvious for the person skilled in the art when realizing session affinity, i.e. every request of one session sent to the same server, and also the second feature does not involve an inventive step when listing all available servers, the problem to be solved by the third feature can be defined as

how to select a server while guaranteeing affinity.

The solution to this problem as proposed in claim 1 of the present application is considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) for the following reasons:

the method of choosing a server as described in claim 1 is neither known from, nor rendered obvious by, the available prior art as presently known.

- 2.2 Claims 2-8 are dependent on claim 1 and as such also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.
- 2.3 The same reasoning as in the previous sections applies to claims 9-17 and 18, which are also considered new (Article 33(2) PCT) and/or as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) with regard to the available prior art as presently known.